Dear Prime Minister Trudeau and Minister Rodriguez:

We write on behalf of the Middle East Studies Association of North America (MESA) and its Committee on Academic Freedom to express our concern over the Canadian government’s decision to rely on what we regard as a flawed definition of antisemitism as part of its new anti-racism strategy. We believe that the adoption and deployment of that definition poses a threat to freedom of expression and to the principles of academic freedom.

MESA was founded in 1966 to promote scholarship and teaching on the Middle East and North Africa. The preeminent organization in the field, the Association publishes the International Journal of Middle East Studies and has over 2,500 members worldwide. MESA is committed to ensuring academic freedom and freedom of expression, both within the region and in connection with the study of the region in North America and elsewhere.

MESA believes strongly in the need to combat antisemitism and all other forms of racism, bigotry and discrimination, and we therefore commend the government of Canada for producing a thoughtful strategy which aims to redress historic and structural racism and discrimination against the country’s indigenous peoples and other racial, religious, and ethnic minority communities. However, the definition of antisemitism which that strategy employs is the one formulated in 2016 by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA). Regrettably, as the British Columbia Civil Liberties Association, several Members of Parliament and other individuals and organizations in Canada have noted, many of the “examples” of contemporary antisemitism offered in the IHRA’s definition of antisemitism conflate actual manifestations of antisemitism with criticism of Israeli actions and policies and of Zionism as a political ideology.

We fear that the government’s adoption and deployment of such an overly broad and obviously politicized definition of antisemitism may have a chilling effect on free and open discussion of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, an issue of widespread public
concern in Canada as elsewhere, thereby threatening the freedom of expression
guaranteed by Canada’s Charter of Rights and Freedoms. It may also pose a threat to
academic freedom at Canada’s institutions of higher education, some of whose faculty
are members of MESA. Finally, the government’s reliance on a definition of
antisemitism that could be used to characterize entirely legitimate speech as antisemitic
may have damaging consequences for efforts to combat actual manifestations of
antisemitism, the ostensible target of government’s anti-racism strategy.

We therefore call on the government of Canada to adopt a definition of antisemitism for
its anti-racism strategy that does not threaten freedom of expression or academic
freedom by conflating criticism of Israel or of Zionism with antisemitism, and that will
thereby more effectively serve that strategy’s laudable goals.

Sincerely,

Judith E. Tucker
MESA President
Professor, Georgetown University

Laurie Brand
Chair, Committee on Academic Freedom
Professor, University of Southern California